

ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cementless) Total Knee Investigation

Note: This analysis compares the ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) femoral/tibial combination with all other total knee prostheses.

This combination has been identified as having a significantly higher rate of revision. For a detailed explanation of the process used by the Registry that results in identification of prostheses that have a higher than anticipated rate of revision please refer to the Prostheses with Higher than Anticipated Rates of Revision chapter of the most recent AOANJRR Annual Report, <https://aoanjrr.sahmri.com/annual-reports-2026>.

Note: Procedures using prostheses with no recorded use in 2025 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 1

Revision Rate of Primary Total Knee Replacement

The revision rate of the ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) total knee combination is compared to all other total knee prostheses.

Table 1: Revision Rates of Primary Total Knee Replacement

Component	N Revised	N Total	Obs. Years	Revisions/100 Obs. Yrs (95% CI)
ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless)	32	131	1222	2.62 (1.79, 3.70)
Other Total Knee	27252	791299	5144700	0.53 (0.52, 0.54)
TOTAL	27284	791430	5145922	0.53 (0.52, 0.54)

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2025 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 2

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) total knee combination is compared to all other total knee prostheses.

Table 2: Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision (95% CI) of Primary Total Knee Replacement

CPR	1 Yr	2 Yrs	3 Yrs	4 Yrs	5 Yrs	6 Yrs
ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless)	7.7 (4.2, 13.8)	16.1 (10.8, 23.7)	19.3 (13.5, 27.2)	20.1 (14.2, 28.2)	20.1 (14.2, 28.2)	20.1 (14.2, 28.2)
Other Total Knee	1.0 (1.0, 1.0)	1.8 (1.8, 1.8)	2.4 (2.3, 2.4)	2.8 (2.7, 2.8)	3.1 (3.0, 3.1)	3.4 (3.4, 3.5)

CPR	7 Yrs	8 Yrs	9 Yrs	10 Yrs	11 Yrs	12 Yrs
ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless)	21.0 (14.9, 29.1)	21.8 (15.6, 30.1)	23.6 (17.1, 32.0)	24.5 (17.9, 33.0)	24.5 (17.9, 33.0)	24.5 (17.9, 33.0)
Other Total Knee	3.7 (3.7, 3.8)	4.0 (4.0, 4.1)	4.3 (4.3, 4.4)	4.6 (4.6, 4.7)	4.9 (4.9, 5.0)	5.3 (5.2, 5.3)

CPR	13 Yrs	14 Yrs	15 Yrs	16 Yrs	17 Yrs	18 Yrs
ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless)						
Other Total Knee	5.6 (5.5, 5.7)	5.9 (5.8, 6.0)	6.3 (6.2, 6.4)	6.7 (6.6, 6.9)	7.2 (7.0, 7.3)	7.5 (7.4, 7.7)

CPR	19 Yrs	20 Yrs	21 Yrs	22 Yrs	23 Yrs	24 Yrs	25 Yrs
ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless)							
Other Total Knee	7.8 (7.7, 8.0)	8.1 (7.9, 8.3)	8.5 (8.2, 8.7)	8.7 (8.4, 9.0)	8.8 (8.5, 9.1)	9.1 (8.7, 9.5)	9.5 (8.8, 10.2)

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2025 are excluded from the comparator.


FIGURE 1

Yearly Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement

The yearly cumulative percent revision of the ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) total knee combination is compared to all other total knee prostheses. In addition, hazard ratios are reported.

Hazard ratios are reported for specific time periods during which the hazard ratio is constant. This is done to enable more specific and valid comparisons of the risk of revision over time. The pattern of variation in risk has important implications with respect to the underlying reasons for any difference.

Figure 1: Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement



Number at Risk	0 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yrs	3 Yrs	4 Yrs	5 Yrs	6 Yrs	7 Yrs
ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless)	131	120	107	100	98	97	96	91
Other Total Knee	791299	708579	628167	550735	487446	424771	369749	315908

Number at Risk	8 Yrs	9 Yrs	10 Yrs	11 Yrs	12 Yrs	13 Yrs	14 Yrs	15 Yrs	16 Yrs
ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless)	90	87	85	81	66	31	9	0	0
Other Total Knee	266726	222233	182569	146899	117135	92156	70371	52526	38351

Number at Risk	17 Yrs	18 Yrs	19 Yrs	20 Yrs	21 Yrs	22 Yrs	23 Yrs	24 Yrs	25 Yrs
ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Total Knee	27628	19434	13616	9427	6164	3657	2096	972	244

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2025 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 3**Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Knee Replacement**

This table identifies the diagnosis of the primary procedure which was subsequently revised. This information is provided as there is a variation on outcome depending on the primary diagnosis. It is therefore important when considering the reasons for a higher than anticipated rate of revision that there is identification of the primary diagnosis. This information should be compared to the primary diagnosis for the revisions of all other total knee prostheses.

Table 3: Primary Diagnosis for Revised Primary Total Knee Replacement

Primary Diagnosis	ACS/ACS Mobile PC (class)		Other Total Knee	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Osteoarthritis	32	100.0	26360	96.7
Rheumatoid Arthritis			330	1.2
Tumour			199	0.7
Other Inflammatory Arthritis			177	0.6
Osteonecrosis			104	0.4
Fracture			55	0.2
Other			26	0.1
Chondrocalcinosis			1	0.0
TOTAL	32	100.0	27252	100.0

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2025 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 4

Reasons for Revision

This is reported in two ways: a percentage of primary procedures revised and as a percentage of all revision procedures.

% Primaries Revised: This shows the proportional contribution of each revision diagnosis as a percentage of the total number of primary procedures. This percentage can be used to approximate the risk of being revised for that diagnosis. Differing percentages between groups, with the same distribution of follow up time, may identify problems of concern.

% Revisions: The number of revisions for each diagnosis is expressed as a percentage of the total number of revisions. This shows the distribution of reasons for revision within a group but cannot be used as a comparison between groups.

Table 4: Primary Total Knee Replacement - Reason for Revision (Follow-up Limited to 14.4 Years)

Revision Diagnosis	ACS/ACS Mobile PC (class)			Other Total Knee		
	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions	Number	% Primaries Revised	% Revisions
Infection	2	1.5	6.3	7789	1.0	29.4
Loosening	15	11.5	46.9	5567	0.7	21.0
Instability	1	0.8	3.1	2813	0.4	10.6
Patella Erosion	5	3.8	15.6	1924	0.2	7.3
Pain	2	1.5	6.3	1832	0.2	6.9
Patellofemoral Pain	2	1.5	6.3	1524	0.2	5.7
Arthrofibrosis	2	1.5	6.3	1178	0.1	4.4
Fracture				1071	0.1	4.0
Malalignment	1	0.8	3.1	509	0.1	1.9
Wear Tibial Insert				311	0.0	1.2
Lysis				266	0.0	1.0
Incorrect Sizing	1	0.8	3.1	216	0.0	0.8
Implant Breakage Tibial Insert				213	0.0	0.8
Patella Maltracking				191	0.0	0.7
Bearing Dislocation				148	0.0	0.6
Implant Breakage Patella				145	0.0	0.5
Metal Related Pathology				112	0.0	0.4
Prosthesis Dislocation				78	0.0	0.3
Synovitis	1	0.8	3.1	63	0.0	0.2
Osteonecrosis				51	0.0	0.2
Wear Patella				47	0.0	0.2
Implant Breakage Femoral				42	0.0	0.2
Implant Breakage Tibial				38	0.0	0.1
Tumour				36	0.0	0.1
Heterotopic Bone				15	0.0	0.1
Progression Of Disease				7	0.0	0.0
Wear Tibial				5	0.0	0.0
Patella Dislocation				3	0.0	0.0
Incorrect Side				1	0.0	0.0
Wear Femoral				1	0.0	0.0
Other				312	0.0	1.2
N Revision	32	24.4	100.0	26508	3.3	100.0
N Primary	131			791299		

Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 14.4 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions.

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2025 are excluded from the comparator.

FIGURE 2**Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis of Primary Total Knee Replacement**

This figure details the cumulative incidence of the most common reasons for revision. The five most common reasons for revision are included as long as each of these reasons account for more than 10 procedures or at least 5% of all revisions for the ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) total knee combination. A comparative graph is provided of the cumulative incidence for the same reasons for revisions for all other total knee prostheses.


Figure 2: Cumulative Incidence Revision Diagnosis for Primary Total Knee Replacement

TABLE 5

Type of Revision Performed for Primary Total Knee Replacement

This analysis identifies the components used in the revision of the ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) total knee combination and compares it to the components used in the revision of all other total knee prostheses.

The reason this analysis is undertaken is to identify whether there is one or more components which are being replaced that differ from the components replaced for revisions of all other total knee prostheses i.e. is there a difference in the type of revision undertaken for the ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) total knee combination compared to all other total knee prostheses.

Table 5: Primary Total Knee Replacement - Type of Revision (Follow-up Limited to 14.4 Years)

Type of Revision	ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless)		Other Total Knee	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TKR (Tibial/Femoral)	20	62.5	6378	24.1
Tibial Component	1	3.1	1896	7.2
Femoral Component			1302	4.9
Cement Spacer			1121	4.2
Removal of Prostheses			132	0.5
Total Femoral			21	0.1
Reinsertion of Components			8	0.0
N Major	21	65.6	10858	41.0
Insert Only	2	6.3	8435	31.8
Patella Only	7	21.9	4416	16.7
Insert/Patella	2	6.3	2724	10.3
Minor Components			65	0.2
Cement Only			10	0.0
N Minor	11	34.4	15650	59.0
TOTAL	32	100.0	26508	100.0

Note: This table is restricted to revisions within 14.4 years for all groups to allow a time-matched comparison of revisions.

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2025 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 6**Revision Rates of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Fixation**

This analysis is provided as some prostheses have more than one fixation option. Additionally there are prostheses where an alternative to the recommended approach to fixation was used e.g. a cementless prosthesis that has been cemented or vice-versa.

Table 6: Revised Number of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Fixation

Fixation	N Revised	N Total
Cementless	32	131
TOTAL	32	131

TABLE 7**Revision Rates of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Surface**

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of bearing surfaces. All bearing surfaces used with this combination are listed.

Table 7: Revised Number of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Surface

Bearing Surface	N Revised	N Total
Non XLPE	32	131
TOTAL	32	131

TABLE 8**Revision Rates of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Mobility**

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of bearing mobilities. All bearing mobilities used with this combination are listed.

Table 8: Revised Number of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Bearing Mobility

Bearing Mobility	N Revised	N Total
Rotating	32	131
TOTAL	32	131

TABLE 9**Revision Rates of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Stability**

This analysis is provided as some prostheses are combined with a variety of stabilities. All stabilities used with this combination are listed.

Table 9: Revised Number of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Stability

Stability	N Revised	N Total
Minimally Stabilised	32	131
TOTAL	32	131

TABLE 10

Revision Rates of Primary Total Knee Replacement by State

This enables a state by state variation to be identified for the ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) total knee combination and provides the comparative data for each of the states for all other total knee prostheses.

The purpose of this analysis is to determine if the higher than anticipated rate of revision has widespread distribution between states. If there is widespread distribution then the reason for the higher than anticipated rate of revision is unlikely to be surgeon specific. If the prosthesis has been used in only a small number of states it is not possible to distinguish if the higher than anticipated rate of revision is related to the prosthesis, surgeon, technique or patient.

Table 10: Revised Number of Primary Total Knee Replacement by State

Component	State	N Revised	N Total
ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless)	VIC	32	131
Other Total Knee	NSW	7550	259497
	VIC	5988	163434
	QLD	5789	167244
	WA	3600	92841
	SA	3220	73114
	TAS	475	15075
	ACT/NT	630	20094
TOTAL		27284	791430

Note: Prostheses no longer used in 2025 are excluded from the comparator.

TABLE 11**Number of Revisions of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Year of Implant**

This analysis details the number of prostheses reported each year to the Registry for the ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) total knee combination. It also provides the subsequent number of revisions of the primaries reported in that year.

Primary procedures performed in later years have had less follow up time therefore the number revised is expected to be less than the number revised in earlier years. For example, a primary procedure performed in 2025 has a maximum of one year to be revised, whereas a primary procedure performed in 2023 has a maximum of three years to be revised.

Table 11: Number of Revisions of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Year of Implant

Year of Implant	Number Revised	Total Number
2011	5	20
2012	8	37
2013	13	57
2014	6	17
TOTAL	32	131

TABLE 12

Revision Rates of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Many prostheses have a number of catalogue ranges. The catalogue range is specific to particular design features; more than one catalogue range usually indicates a minor difference in design in a particular ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) prosthesis.

This analysis has been undertaken to determine if the revision rate varies according to the catalogue number range.

Model	Catalogue Range	Catalogue Description	Cement	Coating
Femoral				
ACS	42003102-42003118	FEMORAL COMPONENT POROUS COATED	NO	TIN
ACS	42210303-42210316	FEMORAL COMPONENT SLIM POROUS COATED	NO	
Tibial				
ACS Mobile	42010102-42010107	MB TIBIAL COMPONENT BASIC POROUS COATED	NO	TIN

Table 12: Revised Number of ACS/ACS Mobile PC (cless) Primary Total Knee Replacement by Catalogue Number Range

Femoral Range	Tibial Range	N Revised	N Total
42003102-42003118	42010102-42010107	23	102
42210303-42210316	42010102-42010107	9	29
TOTAL		32	131